

1

Outline

- 1. Definitions: PCR, LCA, EPD
- 2. The Business Value of EPDs: Market Drivers
- 3. California AB 262 update



Life Cycle Assessment of Buildings

- A Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) is a mechanism for allowing architects and building professionals to understand the energy use and other environmental impact associated with all the phases of a building's life cycle:
 - procurement, construction, operation, and decommissioning.
- End Product is a wide-ranging environmental footprint of a building including aspects such as energy use, global warming potential, habitat destruction, resource depletion, and toxic emissions.
- To inform a Building Life Cycle Assessment, LCAs of the products that make up the building need to provided to make that assessment.
 - Product-LCAs characterized by their respective Product Category Rules (PCR)
 - Product Category rules are developed early in the process



Current PCRs

- 1. GANA PCR for Flat Glass: UN CPC 3711 (2014)
 - Uncoated flat glass
- 2. Product Category Rule (PCR) for Processed Glass (2016)
 - Processed/coated glass, including heat-treated, insulating, and laminated glass used in building applications, used in both building envelope and installed interior building applications
- 3. Cradle to Gate Window Product Category Rule (2015)
 - Single vertical window products, including skylights, single opening windows, curtain walls, and storefronts. *It does not include any type of door, tubular daylighting devices or window component.*

5

Current LCAs and EPDs

- 1. Individual window manufacturers
- 2. Individual flat glass manufacturers

No industry-wide LCA or EPD Industry Wide Flat Glass EPD being worked on NG/





Possible Paths Forward

Company's Product-Specific LCA

• LCA that represents a specific manufacturer and their products

• Company will collect data with an LCA Practitioner, who will do the LCA and report manufacturer-specific data

Approximate Cost

• Middle range. LCA Practitioner and critical review fees only

• PROS

Accurate LCA data to give to glaziers

Gain insight into environmental hotspots

• CONS

Costlier than collaborating on an industry-wide LCA

Absence of industry baseline

Company's Product-Specific EPD

- EPD that represents a specific manufacturer and their products
- Company will collect data with the LCA Practitioner, who will do the LCA and report manufacturer-specific data

Approximate Cost

High range. LCA Practitioner and annual Program Operator fees apply

• PROS

Individual (Company) Approach

- Competitive differentiation
- 4+ months

• CONS

Costlier than collaborating on an industry-wide LCA or EPD
 Absence of industry baseline



Industry-wide Approach		
Industry-wide LCA	Industry-wide EPD	
A single LCA that represents participating member companies	A single EPD that represents participating member companies	
Glaziers, as the last step of the production process, may be asked for EPDs. Glass LCA will feed into their LCAs.	 LCA Practitioner manages data collection from individual companies and aggregates data to report the average. EPD is verified by a third party. 	
LCA Practitioner manages data collection from individual companies, and aggregates data to report the average	 Approximate cost Middle range per manufacturer. LCA Practitioner and annual Program Operator fees apply. 	
Approximate Cost		
Low range per manufacturer. LCA Practitioner and critical review fees apply.	• PROS	
	Cost-effective	
PROS	 Establishes industry-baseline for glaziers 	
Cost-effective - leverages industry economy of scale Makes an LCA affordable for smaller manufacturers	 Establishes industry-baseline for contributing manufacturers to show improvement 	
Makes an LLA attordable for smaller manufacturers Establishes industry-baseline for glaziers to use	Demonstrates leadership and expanded marketability (as an association)	
Demonstrates leadership and allows for expanded marketability	Get ahead of others setting a baseline for you	
Glaziers can use actual North American glass LCA instead of global proxy data	det aneda of others setting a basenne for you	
· Giaziers can use actual North American glass ECA Instead of global proxy data	• CONS	
CONS	No competitive differentiation	
No competitive differentiation	More costly than LCA alone	
8+ months to produce	9+ months to produce	

No Approach	
	'Do Nothing' Scenario
PROS	
 Save money by wa 	iting to see whether market demands LCAs or EPDs.
CONS	
 If industry-wide av you. 	erage is not done by the industry, others will develop an industry average for
 By the time you de <u>published</u>. 	cide to pursue an EPD, it will be at least 12 months before you have one
-	des to do nothing, individual members will bear the cost of doing the work could be a barrier for smaller companies





California AB262 - Background

Requires contractors bidding on **state infrastructure and construction project** to disclose the global warming potential (GWP) for eligible materials (e.g. flat glass) in an *Environmental Product Declaration* (EPD)

The California Department of General Services (DGS) will set a maximum allowable GWP based on an *industry-average EPD* for the material.

The DGS will adjust the GWP downward over time

DGS will require manufacturers to submit product-specific EPDs reflecting the GWP results from *each manufacturing facility*

California AB262 - Background

NGA Comments to DGS

Not enough time to produce EPDs

Facility-specific results do not represent how products go to market Legislation will result in excluding products from the CA marketplace The calculation methodology for maximum allowable GWP is flawed Not enough outreach from DGS soliciting comments from external stakeholders

California AB262 - Background

DGS Response to NGA

The Buy Clean California Act timelines have been revised by AB 1817. DGS interprets a "facility-specific EPD" as a product-specific EPD originating from a single facility. Individual facility compliance cannot be verified through submission of multi-facility or industry-wide EPDs DGS has made note that AB262's requirement for facility-specific EPDs could result in excluding products from the CA marketplace, and will further investigate the issue

DGS will plan future outreach to external stakeholders



NG

NG

California AB262 – Key Dates

January 1, 2019 – Awarding authorities will request submission of EPDs

January 1, 2020 – Awarding authorities will *require* submission of EPDs

January 1, 2021 – DGS will publish the maximum acceptable GWP for eligible materials.

July 1, 2021 – Awarding authorities will gauge GWP compliance of eligible materials with EPDs.

17

<section-header><section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>







